

JUN 2 1 2016

David Cox Cox for Congress and David Cox, Treasurer coxd@cookman.edu

RE: MUR 6813

Cox for Congress and David Cox,

Treasurer David Cox

Dear Mr. Cox:

The Federal Election Commission ("Commission") previously notified you and Cox for Congress (collectively, the "Committee"), of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations.

On June 14, 2016, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by you on behalf of the Committee, the Commission dismissed the allegations that the Committee violated the Act and Commission regulations, pursuant to the Commission's prosecutorial discretion. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on June 16, 2016.

The Commission encourages the Committee to review the enclosed Factual and Legal Analysis, which sets forth the statutory and regulatory provisions considered by the Commission in this matter. In particular, the Commission reminds the Committee to refund the excessive contributions made by Gregory F. Smith and amend its 2013 October and Year End Reports to reflect the updated information. For further information on the Act, please refer to the Commission's website at www.fec.gov or contact the Commission's Public Information Division at (202) 694-1100.

Documents related to this case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 694-1650 or toll free at (800) 424-9530.

Sincerely,

Acting General Counsel Daniel Petalas

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis for Cox for Congress and David Cox as treasurer, and David Cox

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FA	CTUAL	AND I	EGAL	ANAT	VSIS
1.7		$\Delta \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$	JUCIAL		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

1 2 3

4

5

RESPONDENTS: Cox for Congress and David Cox

MUR 6813

as treasurer David Cox

Gregory F. Smith

6 7 8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I. INTRODUCTION

9
10 This matter was generated by a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election

Congress and David Cox as treasurer, David Cox, and Gregory F. Smith. It was scored as a low-

rated matter under the Enforcement Priority System, by which the Commission uses formal

Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by Cox for

scoring criteria as a basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

Complainant Elvira F. Hasty alleges that Smith made and the Committee accepted excessive contributions during the 2014 election cycle. Compl. at 1-2. The complainant also alleges that the Committee improperly reported a \$3,000 contribution from Smith as a loan, and that Smith's corporation, Smith, Powell and Associates, made a \$2,000 prohibited contribution to the Committee. *Id.* Finally, the Complainant alleges that Smith's name is spelled differently in several disclosure reports, possibly to avoid detection of his excessive contributions. *Id.* at 2. Complainant bases her allegations on information contained in the Committee's disclosure reports as follows:

2

4

CONTRIBUTOR	DATE	AMOUNT	ELECTION	REPORT AND
				NOTES
Gregory Smith	5/22/13	\$500	Primary	Original 2013 July
				Quarterly and
				Amended 2013 July
				Quarterly (5/15/14)
Gregory Smith	6/20/13	\$3,000	Primary	Same; contribution
				also identified on
				Schedule C as a
				loan
Greg Smith	7/12/13	\$500	Primary	Original 2013
				October Quarterly;
				Amended 2013
	İ			October Quarterly
				(5/15/14) shows
				contributor as
				"Greggory Smith;"
				\$3,000 "loan" from
				prior report not
				carried over
Greg Smith	9/28/13	\$500	Primary	Same
Gregg Smith	12/6/13	\$500	Primary	Original 2013 Year
				End Report;
				Amended 2013
				Year End (5/15/14)
				changed name to
				"Greggory Smith;"
Smith, Powell, and	12/5/13	\$500	Primary	Original 2013 Year
Associates				End and Amended
			 	2013 Year End
Smith, Powell, and	12/13/13	\$1,500	Primary	Same
Associates				
Gregg Smith	1/30/14	\$500	Primary	April 2014
1				Quarterly Report

The Complainant alleges that Smith's individual contributions exceeded the maximum

- individual contribution limit, which, even if the contributions were designated to both the
- 5 primary and general elections. Id. at 1. The Complainant further alleges that Smith's

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Dismissal and Case Closure — MUR 6813 Factual and Legal Analysis Cox for Congress et al. Page 3

- 1 corporation is comprised of two partners, and thus half of its \$2,000 contribution should be
- 2 attributable to Smith, thereby further increasing his excessive contribution. *Id.* at 2.
- Cox and the Committee responded that Cox and his "team" "immediately" began
- 4 auditing the Committee's filings and amending any mistakes. Cox Resp. at 1. Cox also said he
- 5 intended to amend the filings for name consistency and return any excessive funds. Id. Cox
- 6 stated that he was a first-time candidate and did not act intentionally. Id.

7 Smith responded that he was unaware of campaign contribution limits. Smith Resp. at 1.

8 He said that he understood that the Committee would be refunding him \$3,000. Id. He also said

that Smith, Powell and Associates is his franchise and that he is its sole proprietor. Id.

B. Legal Analysis

During the 2014 election cycle, the individual contribution limit was \$2,600 to any candidate or his or her authorized committee per election. 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A). The term "contribution" includes loans. 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A)(i). Political committees may accept contributions from partnerships and certain LLCs. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(e) (partnership contributions), 110.1(g) (LLC contributions). Political committees may also accept contributions from sole proprietorships, so long as the sole proprietor is permitted to make a contribution under the Act. See Advisory Op. 1980-89 (Coelho) at 2 (a contribution by a sole proprietorship is treated as a contribution by the individual who is the sole proprietor of the business); Advisory Op. 1989-21 (Create-a-Craft) at 2 (sole proprietors are subject to the limitations concerning excessive, as opposed to prohibited, contributions).

Smith, while using one of the various first names of Greg, Gregg, Gregory, or Greggory, contributed a total of \$5,500 to the Committee, all designated for the primary election, including a \$3,000 "loan." Because Smith did not make any general election contributions, \$2,600 of his

Dismissal and Case Closure — MUR 6813 Factual and Legal Analysis Cox for Congress et al. Page 4

- \$5,500 total contribution could have been redesignated for the general. It appears, however, that
- 2 the Committee did not follow the proper procedures in order to timely seek redesignation of the
- 3 primary contributions. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(5)(ii)(B)(5).
- In addition, the sole proprietorship of Smith, Powell, and Associates contributed \$2,000
- 5 to the Committee. Since Smith is the sole proprietor of Smith, Powell, the \$2,000 contribution is
- 6 also attributed to Smith. Thus, Smith contributed a total of \$7,500 in primary contributions to
- 7 the Committee. In the absence of any resdesignation, Smith exceeded the contribution limits for
- 8 the primary election by \$4,900. The Committee appears to have refunded \$300 to Smith on June
- 9 29, 2014, which still leaves \$4,600 in unrefunded primary contributions that exceed the per
- 10 election limitation. 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A).
- Therefore, Gregory F. Smith violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A) by making excessive
- contributions, and Cox for Congress violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) by accepting excessive
- 13 contributions. The Committee also appears to have misreported a \$3,000 contribution by Smith
- 14 as a loan in its original and amended 2013 July Quarterly Reports.²
- In light of the amounts at issue, the fact that the candidate was a first-time candidate and
- 16 the contributor was not an experienced contributor, 3 and the Committee's request to terminate,
- 17 the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S.
- 18 821 (1985), and dismisses this matter as to Cox for Congress and David Cox in his official
- 19 capacity as treasurer, David Cox, and Gregory F. Smith. Additionally, the Commission reminds

The notice to the contributor regarding redesignation must be sent within 60 days of the receipt of the contribution. Otherwise, the excessive contribution must be refunded. 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(5)(ii)(B).

Although Cox said in his and the Committee's Response that he would filed amended reports to correct name consistency and refund any excessive contributions, the Committee's amended reports do not show such actions, except for a \$300 refund.

According to Commission records, Smith made one prior federal contribution in 2008 for \$1,000.

Dismissal and Case Closure — MUR 6813 Factual and Legal Analysis Cox for Congress et al. Page 5

- 1 the Committee to refund the excessive contributions to Gregory F. Smith and file amended
- 2 disclosure reports to reflect such activity.